



# Cherwell District Council and Oxfordshire County Council Equality Impact Assessment

September 2020

#### Contents

| Section 1: Summary details                                  | . 3 |
|---|-----|
| Section 2: Detail of proposal                               |     |
| Section 3: Impact Assessment - Protected Characteristics    |     |
| Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Community Impacts | . 8 |
| Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Wider Impacts     | . 9 |
| Section 4: Review   | 10  |

### **Section 1: Summary details**

| Directorate and Service Area   | Adult Social Care  |
|--|--|
| What is being assessed (e.g. name of policy, procedure, project, service or proposed service change).  | 22AS12 and 22AS13 - Care Home Activity  New  |
| Is this a new or existing function or policy?  | New  |
| Summary of assessment  Briefly summarise the policy or proposed service change.  Summarise possible impacts.  Does the proposal bias, discriminate or unfairly disadvantage individuals or groups within the community?  (following completion of the assessment). | Short Stay Hub Beds – on-going impact of reduction of 32 short stay beds to align with current demand. Agreed with system partners during 2020/21 (-£0.600m).  Introduce 60 residential specialist and 60 nursing beds to the council's main block contract for care home beds to reduce more expensive spot purchased activity and reduce residential beds where there is less demand (-£0.600m). |
| Completed By   | Rachel Pirie   |
| Authorised By  | Stephen Chandler   |
| Date of Assessment   | 20 <sup>th</sup> October 2020  |

#### **Section 2: Detail of proposal**

| Context / Background            | This proposes an update to the use of care home beds that is line with the Council's strategy to support   |
|---------------------------------|--|
| D: 0                            | people closer to home and utilise care homes to provide more specialist care for people.                   |
| Briefly summarise the           |  |
| background to the policy or     | The Council's block contract for the provision of care home beds needs to respond to the changing needs    |
| proposed service change,        | of the Oxfordshire population, which means adapting to meet higher acuity of need.                         |
| including reasons for any       |  |
| changes from previous           |  |
| versions.                       |  |
| Proposals                       | Short Stay Hub Beds – on-going impact of reduction of 32 short stay beds to align with current demand.     |
| Fioposais                       | Agreed with system partners during 2020/21 (-£0.600m).   |
| Explain the detail of the       |  |
| proposals, including why this   | Introduce 60 residential specialist and 60 nursing beds to the council's main block contract for care home |
| has been decided as the best    | beds to reduce more expensive spot purchased activity and reduce residential beds where there is less      |
| course of action.               | demand (-£0.600m).   |
|                                 |  |
| Evidence / Intelligence         | This proposal is in response to the changing needs of the population and has been developed in             |
| <b>g</b>                        | partnership with the relevant care providers.  |
| List and explain any data,      | pararetemp was and relevant said providere.  |
| consultation outcomes,          |  |
| research findings, feedback     |  |
| from service users and          |  |
| stakeholders etc, that supports |  |
| your proposals and can help to  |  |
| inform the judgements you       |  |
| make about potential impact     |  |
| on different individuals,       |  |

| communities or groups and our   |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| ability to deliver our climate  |   |
| commitments.                    |   |
|                                 |   |
| Alternatives considered /       | None considered because of the nature of the changes. |
| rejected                        |   |
| 0                               |   |
| Summarise any other             |   |
| approaches that have been       |   |
| considered in developing the    |   |
| policy or proposed service      |   |
| change, and the reasons why     |   |
| these were not adopted. This    |   |
| could include reasons why       |   |
| doing nothing is not an option. |   |
|                                 |   |

### **Section 3: Impact Assessment - Protected Characteristics**

| Protected<br>Characteristic  | No<br>Impact | Positive | Negative | Description of Impact  | Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts | Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation) | Timescale and monitoring arrangements |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Age                          |              | X        |          | Supports the overall strategic direction to provide care for people in their own home. |  |  |                                       |
| Disability                   |              | X        |          | Supports the overall strategic direction to provide care for people in their own home. |  |  |                                       |
| Gender<br>Reassignment       | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Marriage & Civil Partnership | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Pregnancy & Maternity        | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Race                         | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Sex                          | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Sexual<br>Orientation        | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |

## **Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Community Impacts**

| Additional community impacts | No<br>Impact | Positive | Negative | Description of impact | Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts | Action<br>owner<br>(*Job Title,<br>Organisation) | Timescale and monitoring arrangements |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Rural communities            | ×            |          |          |                       |  |  |                                       |
| Armed Forces                 | ×            |          |          |                       |  |  |                                       |
| Carers                       | $\boxtimes$  |          |          |                       |  |  |                                       |
| Areas of deprivation         | ×            |          |          |                       |  |  |                                       |

#### **Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Wider Impacts**

| Additional<br>Wider Impacts | No<br>Impact | Positive | Negative | Description of Impact                              | Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts | Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation) | Timescale and monitoring arrangements |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Staff                       | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Other Council<br>Services   | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |
| Providers                   |              | X        |          | Supports Order of St<br>John's strategic direction |  |  |                                       |
| Social Value <sup>1</sup>   | ×            |          |          |  |  |  |                                       |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012 applies to this proposal, please summarise here how you have considered how the contract might improve the economic, social, and environmental well-being of the relevant area

#### **Section 4: Review**

Where bias, negative impact or disadvantage is identified, the proposal and/or implementation can be adapted or changed; meaning there is a need for regular review. This review may also be needed to reflect additional data and evidence for a fuller assessment (proportionate to the decision in question). Please state the agreed review timescale for the identified impacts of the policy implementation or service change.

| Review Date                   |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Person Responsible for Review |  |
| Authorised By                 |  |